



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,439	10/14/2005	Alberto Bianco	0508-1145	1423
466	7590	11/10/2010		
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
209 Madison Street			GREINE, IVAN A	
Suite 500				
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
11/10/2010	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/553,439	Applicant(s) BIANCO ET AL.
	Examiner IVAN GREENE	Art Unit 1619

All Participants:(1) IVAN GREENE.**Status of Application:** Final response mailed

(3) _____.

(2) VANIA VITTELLI.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2010**Time:** 11:37 AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cherie M. Woodward/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the six month statutory period for reply to the office action mailed 04/02/2010 had expired, the examiner placed a call to Robert A. Madsen to inquire as to the status of the instant application. Mr. Madsen was not available but his assistant Ms. Vania Vittell was able to confirm that no response to the office action 04/02/2010 had been filed..